

## **Neighbourhood Plan Meeting**

The Gables, Treswell Rd, Rampton, 22/7/19

Housekeeping

### **Present**

Michael Ferriter Chair

Felicity Ferriter Minute Taker

Sue Kyle Chair of PC

Luke Brown Planning Consultant

Will Wilson Planning Officer, Bassetlaw D.C.

Martin Cree Manager Village Hall

### **Apologies for Absence**

Muriel Arden

### **Minutes of Previous Meeting**

Tasks – was a summary of the character assessment discussed in the minutes? No, so the minutes were accepted as a true record.

### **Matters Arising**

Do we have a date for the Woodbeck Open Day?

Martin reported that Ed Knox had suggested that the Consultation go forward earlier so the Open Days be brought forward earlier. After discussion on the pros and cons of this it was agreed to keep Open Day dates as they were but start the Consultation period earlier after the September PC meeting on the 9th.

FF to ring Barbara Weaver to ask for booking date early October for the Woodbeck consultation at Woody's Bar. Provisional Date is 5/10/19, but this needs to be moved to the evening of 7/10/19 7.00pm – 9.00pm. FF is to provide the catering and there is a budget to cover this. Leaflets of invitation need to go out promptly in both Rampton and Woodbeck. Barrie and Sue to cover Woodbeck, Alex to cover Rampton.

Ed Knox had suggested going earlier for cost saving. The plan might have to be changed if either the PC or the public are not happy with it. The group agreed that there was probably enough funding to manage the existing known factors of the process.

MF is to chase up English Heritage as the group still hasn't heard from them.

Sue to put Chairman's Introduction together.

Item 4 – funding now secured

Item 5 still not complete Ed has put some info together and will circulate it for comments.

## **Review of latest NP**

FF outlined the basis of the grant aided process of the social housing feasibility study after Karen presented it at the Council Meeting in July. The feasibility study is to examine whether the council could develop a small number of socially owned houses to provide a constant stock of this type of housing for local people. The usual pattern is for smaller councils to develop this with a property developer or with a Housing Association. A meeting had been planned for August to try to draw stakeholders into the process, but this looks like being too early to get other stakeholders present and involved. The next option is to bring it forward for further discussion at the September PC and invite Karen and her colleague to present at the Open Sessions, with a poster board and information. It is essential that this process is “owned” by the parishioners. The Open days should, therefore, have information and people present to discuss the Feasibility study. This has to be a process run and owned by the community.

SK asked if the NP had anything like this process in the plan itself. It doesn't and the Group all felt it should. One of the problems is which areas of land are available e.g. Pinder Park. There was a brief discussion of possibility of the Plague Pit being situated within the boundary of the Pinder Park.

## **Item 10 Review latest version of plan**

The dates of the business consultation of the plan needed to be amended.

No comments received to date on small areas going in. 9 off the 75 with Treswell Rd development taking place. Other small sites identified give an additional 3 houses. This is a natural extension and not controversial. Rob Mellor's Treswell Rd site is now 9 houses. The fall-back site on Treswell Rd in maroon on the plan will be triggered after these developments are underway as it will be more acceptable to consider building when the other developments are up. The site in Woodbeck has been identified and is marked on the plan.

S Kyle will contact LC and see if she had started on the work for the landowning contact letters before she resigned from the Group.

MF said three elements to be added to the Plan: a Summary of the Character Assessment; a Summary of the Technical Assessment and the rationale of Policy details stemming from this.

These have actually been done by Luke. Luke is to send his NP document to MF as version 12. The Plan needs a final “polish” and be submitted to the PC for the September Meeting

The funding position was discussed. There was less grant than applied for as no social housing included in the NP; could the Feasibility Study application alter this? Possibly it could. MF asked would monies be available if the process had to be extended for any reason. Grant can be returned if not used.

MF said he did not want the PC to think that the Plan represents the personal view of the Group; it reflects the best fit of all views from stakeholders – the consultants, the businesses and residents etc. PC could reject it, but this is unlikely unless there is something actually wrong? Comments come back after the 6-week consultation, and the document is adjusted accordingly, the plan is then submitted to Bassetlaw District Council for consideration and approval. Bassetlaw District Council could bounce the Plan back – this is also unlikely, though they may make advisory comments. Luke offered to continue to support the process even if grant was very tight.

**Any Other Business**

Book the Open Session dates, get back to Martin to create flyers

Put consideration of the Feasibility study and submission of the NP on the Parish Council agenda for 9/9/19

Invite Karen and colleague to the Open Sessions.

**Date of Next Meeting**

Tuesday 27<sup>th</sup> August at The Gables 6.30pm